**August 11, 2020 Meeting - Seattle Community Technology Advisory Board**

Topics covered included:

**This meeting was held:** August 11, 2020; 6:00-7:45 p.m., via Skype/Lync

**Attending:  (All via Skype)**

**Board Members:** Torgie Madison, Rene Peters, Steven Maheshwary, John Krull, Brandon Lindsey, Tyler Woebkenberg, Mark DeLoura, Camille Malonzo

**Public:** Dorene Cornwell, Meghan Sebold, Harte Daniels, Cara Vallier, B.H., Amin Amos, Bob Fletcher (Verizon), Jason C., Tyrone Grandison, Sasha Anderson (UW), Ken Hagen

**Staff:** Trayce Cantrell, Delia Burke, Vicki Yuki , Brenda Tate, Jonathan Porat, Vinh Tang, Tara Zaremba, Cass Magnuski

**27 In Attendance**

**Torgie Madison:**   I think we are ready to get started. If people join while we're doing introductions, I'll try to keep track of where they are in the listing and include them at the end. So, welcome everybody. We have a little bit of a lighter meeting today. We have a presentation from Vicky Yuki on the Digital Equity Learning Network, and we have a small update from Vinh Tang about possibly switching over from the Microsoft Lync application that we have been using for our meetings over to CISCO Webex. So, to start, we should be approving the agenda and minutes from July. We'll start with the minutes. Can I get a motion from one of our board members to approve the July minutes?

**John Krull:** I move that we approve the July minutes.

**Rene Peters:**   I will second.

**Torgie Madison:**   All right. I just want to make a quick note before we vote on this that the meeting in July had a very small redaction of a specific community that was being used as a potential pilot program for the tele-health initiative, and we just removed the name of that specific community. I looked over the minutes myself and it looked good to me, So, does that change our motion and second on the meeting minutes from John Krull and Rene Peters?

**Rene Peters:**    Negative.

**John Krull:**   No problem.

**Torgie Madison:**   All those in favor of approving July's minutes, please say 'aye.' If there are any 'nays' or abstentions, please let me know now. With that, the motion passes. The minutes are approved. We can move on to approval of the August agenda. Can I get a motion to approve the August agenda?

**Camille Malonzo:**   So moved.

**Torgie Madison:**   Do I have a second?

**Steven Maheshwary:**   I'll second.

**Torgie Madison:**   All right. We have a motion and a second. All those in favor, please say 'aye.' Do we have any 'nays' or abstentions? With that, the agenda for this meeting has been approved. I believe we should be doing introductions at this point.

**INTRODUCTIONS**

**Torgie Madison:**   I believe the number of CTAB members online is eight. That would be Brandon Lindsey, Camille Malonzo, John Krull, Mark DeLoura, Rene Peters, Steven Maheshwary, myself, and Tyrone Grandison. We can move on in the agenda. Our first presentation today is from Vicky Yuki, and it's all about the Digital Equity Learning Network. So, if you are ready, you can have the floor.

**DIGITAL EQUITY LEARNING NETWORK**

**Vicky Yuki:**   Thank you. I'm just trying to figure out how to share my screen. (Trying to set up.)

**Dorene Cornwell:**   Hey, Vicky, is there a way that you can email out a link or a copy of the file. I don't know if other people who are dialed in will care, but I will.

**Vicky Yuki:**    Right now?

**Torgie Madison:**   Vicky, if you would like, you can attach that and email it to me, and I can put it straight into the meeting as an attachment, so it will be visible to everyone. Torgie@gmail.com.

**Harte Daniels:**   If you have a digital equity community, you might want to consider again if you have presentations in advance to be able to send them out for people who are otherly abled, vision-impaired, so they might be able to follow along. Thank you, Torgie.

**Torgie Madison:**    That was a really good point. It's my fault this time, because this was a last minute request to get information on the learning network. I will keep that in mind. I'll try to find these things out a little bit further in advance so I can get those resources out to people beforehand.

**Vicky Yuki:**   I just want to give you a little bit of an introduction to the Digital Equity Learning Network. Thank you so much while I try to navigate my inability to do this very well. I'm actually pretty good at this on Teams. I'm not very good at it on Skype, and now I'm really afraid of it on Webex. So, we'll see what happens.

Thank you for having me. The Digital Equity Learning Network of Seattle and King County is a collaboration of community based organizations, public and private institutions and individuals, invested in digital equity in our region, especially in reaching the most digitally underserved communities of Seattle and King County. The idea behind it is to bring together best practices across the field, supporting one another, and successfully implementing proven strategies, and addressing challenges in a meaningful and innovative manner. So, being creative about finding solutions. I only have six slides, so I'm just going to go through it, and then if you have questions, you can ask me at the end, if that's okay.

Just a brief history of the Digital Equity and Learning Network:  It pretty much started when we were doing our digital equity strategic planning exercises a few years ago. There is a recognized need for a coordinated and collaborative effort to address digital inequity in Seattle and King County, and then to have it consist of public/private institutions, nonprofit CBOs, foundation funders, telecom industry, education community member advocates and leaders. So during that digital equity strategic planning sessions, we had actually invited a number of people who fit into those categories, and essentially came up with the digital equity strategy action plan and various different points to that. And from that, we thought that it would be beneficial to us and the work that we do, to actually have a formal body for digital equity within Seattle and King County. So, the various different organizations and companies and institutions that participated were across not just Seattle, but King County, and so we thought that it would be better to have it be more encompassing, rather than just the City.

It was "created in 2018," with a series of three separate planning meetings to design what that might look like. And then, in 2019, we held three networking sessions in coordination with partners. The 2020 efforts, once we hit 2020, we had a number of different struggles around Covid as we understood and know and felt it for ourselves that a lot of folks providing digital inclusion work, or digital literacy training. We are not able to do that in person the way they normally did. And so that needed to transition to some form of virtual. But, at the time, it was the middle of March and April, and we weren't sure how long this was going to last. Everything needed to get done yesterday. If we all think back on those days, it was very much a time when we what we needed to do we needed to get done yesterday. So, we thought the Digital Equity Learning Network would be a great thing to use as a vehicle to connect with each other. We would be able to provide each other with support, share resources. And how could we keep this going between meetings? So, we really were doing a lot of strategizing and planning in the background about what this could look like, what the DELN in 2020 could look like as it really tries to meet some needs that weren't something that we had encountered in the past.

Some of the accomplishments. In 2020, so far, we've held two networking sessions. The first, in partnership, was hosted by the UW iSchool TASCHA program. We were very fortunate to have them as a partner early on in terms of planning this. And then, also in helping us to host and facilitate the first networking session. The next one was held  in May. And I want to go back for a second here. We actually had thought about doing these quarterly throughout the year. And because of the urgency and the need, which was so great, and the interest was really high, we decided to do them as often as people would volunteer or decide that they could help to support it and promote. So, the second one was actually held in June by Seattle Goodwill Industries. That was facilitated by them and hosted by them. And then, we have another session coming up this Thursday. That's going to be hosted and facilitated by LiteracySource. This is great. It hit the ground running, and we really have a lot of active participation in it. Another part about the DELN is realloy trying to stay relevant. We shift the agenda items around to reflect current needs. Each session is preceded by a short survey where people can express what they would like to hear and see, and we try to incorporate that into the actual sessions. They last an hour. We also encourage partnerships between members offline. I have heard from a few people who have actually said that they are connecting with each other offline, to do training together, to do outreach, and a lot of different activities, which is really great to hear.

Participation:  Participation has been really good. We have had over 60 attendees at each session, which is pretty good. Our mailing list is at 300-plus emails right now. It started out at 100. It has really grown.  Members: I've had a lot of people who are interested in accessing a formal group. They are interested in joining. I get a lot of email requesting more information about the DELN continually. And we have a small subcommittee that we have put together that has shifted a little bit in membership, but is really made up of past DELN hosts and facilitators. And, a couple of things that we've actually done, which is really great and kind of helped to cement it, is we have created a listserv in Google Groups, and there's an address there for that. For everybody else, I'll send that out to you. We also are working, and almost going live with a Wordpress site.  (delnofskc.wordpress.com) Here we can post notes, Powerpoint presentations, various different resources that people might want to share with each other, and also to be able to have a calendar of events.

So, it has been a really exciting venture. I think that the really good thing about this has come from the rush to get going, and now were getting into a pattern of being able to do these once every month or every other month, is that now we are really looking at how we can sustain this over the next six to nine months, to a year. So, if you have any questions, or you are interested in supporting the DELN and maybe hosting or facilitating a session, then my contact is vicky.yuki@seattle.gov. And I'm happy to take any questions.

**Torgie Madison:**   Thank you so much! I think that presentation was very informative, and I would like to open up the Q&A to anyone who is in this meeting, not just the board members. So, if you have any questions, now is the time.

**Tyrone Grandison:**  I have a quick question.  Do you have targets in terms of participants you want for the individual cities in King County?

**Vicky Yuki:**   We don't actually have formal targets, but it's something that we'd like to see. At this point, I believe there are three cities that have participated in the past. Four local governments. We have 138 CBOs, ten institutions, ten telecoms, four local governments, and three corporations or representatives that

havae actively joined the listserv, as well as they are really interested or participating in the session. So, no, I don't have any specific targets on that, but that's definitely something that we should look towards. I'd like to see another city host it at some point.

**Torgie Madison:**   I believe that question came from Tyrone Grandison?

**Tyrone Grandison:**  Yes.

**Torgie Madison:**   I have a quick question. Are there any specific outcomes that the DELN is working towards broadly, or is it more up to these individual meetings to set their own projects and work towards those?

**Vicky Yuki:**   At this point, we are really working on being a body to share information, to coordinate on accessing resources. So, technically speaking, in terms of what it is we'd like to see as measures for success, I think, are continued high participation in the sessions. My desire -- we consider this a collaborative effort, and so to continue having other organizations hosting it, and owning it, I think that we can definitely build in some more formal measures as we go along. But at this point, we don't really have that. Actually, that's not really true. We really wanted to have a way in which to systematize how we do this. So, at this point, that's kind of the measure. I guess that's an indicator of our success, just being able to move these from quarterly to every month, every other month, having them more frequently, having high attendance at them, being able to create the listserv, a place where you can actually post content after the meetings. So, it's more structural right now. Did that answer your question, Torgie?

**Torgie Madison:**   Yes, it does, thank you.

**Vicky Yuki:**   It's so new. We went through a couple of iterations from the time when we actually did some planning around it, and then 2019, and then into 2020.

**Sasha Anderson:**   This is Sasha Anderson, and Vicky, I wanted to thank you for the presentation. This is really cool if it ever gets off the ground. You mentioned in the presentation that you were working from a playbook that was put together from a number of organizations. Where was that?

**Vicky Yuki:**   A playbook?

**Sasha Anderson:**   Yes, you mentioned and it sounded like there was a convening across the public sector, nonprofits.

**Vicky Yuki:**   Our digital equity strategy?

**Sasha Anderson:**   Yes.

**Vicky Yuki:**   I don't have the location of that at this point, but I can add that to what I send to Torgie, as well.

**Sasha Anderson:**   That'd be great. I think there is a chat feed on this page.

**Vicky Yuki:**   You can also find it at our seattle.gov site. Let me, as we go through the presentation, and other people present, I will hunt for that and put that up there.

**Sasha Anderson:**   That'd be great. Thank you.

**Harte Daniels:**   I just wanted to say that Vicky has been a great asset who works very tirelessly, not only on this, but she has been a great asset to the digital equity committee helping us with resources, as well as strategic questions. Vicky, you mentioned that the way you start these meetings with a short survey of needs, I don't know if there is anybody in the collaborative group or maybe at the iSchool who can look at this. You mentioned at the beginning of the meeting where if everything has to, with the pandemic, be virtual, what kind of digital literacy do you have to provide for people who need assistive technology in order to be able to participate in meetings and have their voices heard, or how do you train the other people who are on the call who are able-bodied about the need that they can leave room for other people to be able to contribute? It would be wonderful to have some sort of collection of suggestions, etc. I personally have been discussing this with the PMI, the Project Management Round Table, on how to be inclusive of other people when you have to be virtual. We have those playbooks for including people of other cultures and making sure their voices are heard in a virtual meeting, but  there may not be suggestions and teaching for digital literacy either for people to use assistive technology or for the presenters to include those who have to take the time to use assistive technology? That's a suggested need. Thank you. It would be a great boon to not just the government sector but the private sector, as well. And I thank you again for all of your great work.

**Vicky Yuki:**   Thank you. I'm taking notes. It's always wonderful to share this and then to hear feedback on areas where we can really try to make some improvements. So, I can do some research on how we can make those things happen.

**Torgie Madison:**  All right. I think there might be time for one more question, if there's one out there.

**Brandon Lindsey:** I just put one into the chat. Vicky, this is Brandon. I just wanted to know what the organizations have been doing. All of these orgs are usually a direct service model, and are training people in person, because that's where they are best to be trained. So, I just thought it might be interesting for everybody to hear if you know how adult education providers and these orgs around the community are -- what are they doing? That's what I want to know. I'm sort of out of the loop.

**Vicky Yuki:**   That's a good question. I'd love to share that. Again, the first session we did, there was a lot of fear, a lot of concern, a lot of lack of understanding of what we can do. What are we going to do? How are we going to get devices to people? People don't have devices. How can we do this virtually? There was  a lot of that. So, for that session we actually provided some resources within the presentation on how people are shifting things virtually. Computing for All is one organization that has transitioned to virtual learning and virtual teaching. So they were a great presenter for that. The second meeting came along, and  everybody was already doing. So, a lot of organizations have transitioned to virtual teaching and virtual education. The virtual classroom is using lots of different methods. It could be that they were using Zoom; they were using Google Hangouts, Google Classroom, using Teams. I didn't hear much about Skype or Webex. And then also just the phone. A lot of phone support. And then at that point, the shift in the focus really went to how to get devices out to our clients, our students? How do we make sure they have connectivity? If they don't have those things, how can we do classes with them?  Some people I know are working with the assistive technology community, doing things where they were teaching using the phone. Then there comes the whole question of how do we do contactless distribution of materials or devices? How do we do lending, creating a lending library? So, there are a lot of different ways in which people are doing things. And then, this time I think that we're going to have a presentation -- LiteracySource is actually going to be showing more about how they do volunteer training, volunteer retention, how to train volunteers so that they can then do this work in a virtual setting, and then also looking at evaluation. There is different evaluation criteria. How can they measure their success and then share their stories? I recently sat in on a presentation, last night, from Jack Straw. They are a Technology Matching Fund recipient, and they are working with the visually impaired blind community, doing sound recording. So, there was spoken word, there was music, and they did that all virtually from their studio, but the students were all virtual. Not virtual students, but they were all remote. Does that answer some questions? Do you have any follow-up questions to that?

**Brandon Lindsey:** No, that's great. I just think it's interesting to think about how -- you know, this is the nonprofit world which, for a lot of things, are based on person to person things, and how the communities that really need the education and the services were doing a lot of that face to face. So, how do the nonprofits execute their mission when people can't come in?

**Vicky Yuki:**   Yes. It's actually been pretty great to hear from folks. And then also, just so you know, we actually have note takers, and then we have what the chat logs as well as the recordings of sessions are available on the listserv, as well. So if you want to go back and participate, they are an hour long, so they are not too long, but if you are interested in learning more about it, that's a start. And then we're going to try to do some more coordinated efforts around the participants and what we have learned.

**Brandon Lindsey:**   Thank you.

**Torgie Madison:**   Yes, thank you so much. This turned into a really great conversation, I think. I really appreciate y our presentation. That will wrap up the Q&A session. It's a little awkward to do applause through a microphone, so I usually just post 'clap, clap, clap' in the chat. And again, if you get that presentation and the strategy to me, I should be able to disseminate that out to those who are interested.

**Vicky Yuki:**   The strategy is actually in the chat.

**Torgie Madison:**   That's right. Brandon already posted that.

**Vicky Yuki:**   Thanks, you guys. Nice to see that. Feel free to reach out to me if you have any follow-up questions or information that you want from me.

**Torgie Madison:**   Great. So, at this point, we have a scheduled break. But I don't think there's too much on the agenda after this, so if I can just get a general board consensus to just move along? I have a couple of very quick updates, and then we're just going to do the committee updates, and then public comment. (Board members all agree to dispense with the break at this meeting.) That sounds consensus enough for me.

So, two quick updates first. I wanted to share with you all that on July 27, the Seattle City Council had its general board meeting, where they discussed the Internet for All Resolution. As you may recall, in July's meeting, we had a presentation from Councilmember Alex Pedersen that was very well attended and well-received. It was a very informative conversation we had with him. Both Rene Peters and I submitted an application to provide public comment during that City Council meeting. We just barely made it. It was more competitive than I thought it was going to be. But we were both able to say a few words on behalf of the Internet for All resolution. And subsequently, the vote passed unanimously. So that is now official. I just wanted to share the good news on that.

And the second thing is that it would be greatly helpful for board members, especially those who are chairing committees to bring some ideas for what we can fill the agendas with, in the coming months. We have four more meetings before the end of the year. That's September through December. If there is something you would like to see, this is also your platform, your community input. If you hear ideas and things that people have been talking about, and want to see, you can bring that. You don't need to know everybody. I know that Jonathan Porat and the Seattle IT staff have been extremely helpful in putting me in contact with people. I just have an idea that they seem to know everyone. They have been extremely helpful in getting those put together. So, also a quick shout out to the Seattle IT staff who have been helping so much. But, yes, this is sort of a call to action. If you have anything you'd like to see that you think is of community benefit during the meetings as a presentation, let's work together and we can put you in touch with the people you need to be put in touch with, and we can get some really good presentations to end the year strong. Rene Peters, did you have any insights you would like to share during the public comment process?

**Rene Peters:** No. Everything is pretty well-said. It's definitely good thought that the broader board continues to take part in crafting the agenda we see month to month. I know there's a lot of creativity, especially with this influx of new energy.

**Torgie Madison:**   Thank you. And for Mark DeLoura, in particular, I know that you are an interim board member, basically staying on due to an unfilled position on the Mayoral side. But there is a candidate that has been working through the pipeline. So, maybe some day, you will be released.

**Mark DeLoura:**  Hopefully. It's going to be awesome.

**Torgie Madison:**   I think all of our board members are awesome.

**Mark DeLoura:**  Me, too.

**Torgie Madison:**   So, with that, we can move on to the first committee update. I know that John Krull and Harte Daniels, and Dorene Cornwell, in particular, have been putting together larger updates because of their work on the tele-health initiative. But you don't need to do that this time. If there is just a brief committee update that you can provide, now is the time.

**COMMITTEE UPDATES**

**Digital Equity Committee**

**John Krull:**   Sure, I'll start, and Dorene Cornwell and Harte Daniels could jump in. First off, our July committee meeting was postponed. I'll take the blame for that. I was traveling and notified my team kind of at the last minute. So, I will apologize for that. The good news is that I had a good vacation.

We are waiting to hear back from both the resident advisory council and on our grant. So, that's still pending. So, maybe other folks are traveling this summer. We still are working on next steps on our proposal. I know that Michael is not here tonight, but he has been dong some research on providers. That's pretty much it in a nutshell. Not too much to update you on since the last meeting. Dorene or Harte -- I'd like to call them co-chairs, but they get mad if I say that -- but if they have any updates, that would be great.

**Harte Daniels:**   John covered everything. We're looking at the original proposal and seeing how we can align with it. Again, Vicky had a great resource, but it was too late for us to join a webinar from Health and Human Services on Covid-19 on the basics for community-based organizations such as the Public Housing Authority and Disability Networks stakeholders and other types of services providers, which was exactly the kind of thing that we wanted to include in our final reports and recommendations to the CTAB board. That's the only other thing. We don't know whether we can get our hands on that information from that webinar to be able to include. So, that's one of our next action items.

**Torgie Madison:**   Thank you. Is there anything from Dorene that you would like to add?

**Dorene Cornwell:**  I think John Krull and Harte Daniels covered it pretty well. From my perspective, I happened on a webinar yesterday about broadband investment. It was an interesting call because of who was there. Clearly, that tele-health space is a thing that  lots of people are thinking about and working on in different ways. From my perspective, with respect to housing authorities, so much is shut down that it's hard to do even small steps forward, which is good and bad, because I get to play and learn technology, but it's getting in the way of other people getting to do stuff they need to do. I think that's kind of the reality of the pandemic. You just can't do anything as fast as you'd like to. We are working slowly. And one thing I want to highlight -- and I didn't ask Vicky the question earlier -- there's a huge bump up in seniors wanting to learn computers because of all of the retirement communities that don't want to let anyone in or out. They have staff, and the staff are helping all of the residents. And speaking as someone who gets really tired of doing tech support for my mother, I'm really glad that the staff at her community is so helpful and so fresh. But it's kind of another layer of the culture that will evolve if we start to do more tele-health.

**Torgie Madison:**   Thank you for that update. I want to ask a quick question. I notice that Cara Vallier has joined the chat. She was involved in the internet for All resolution. We had just talked about that. I don't know if you are able to talk in the conversation. Is chat working for you, Cara?

**Cara Vallier:** I am. Can you hear me?

**Torgie Madison:**   I know that we didn't talk about this; we didn't plan anything. I'm just curious to know if you have any perspectives or updates that you would like to share on the Internet for All resolution.

**Cara Vallier:**   Sure.  Well, I just wanted to say thank you so much for the support. We were really pleased with the results, and really encouraged by all of the community support and people who did call in and had to wait a long time to be able to express their opinions. Busy agenda that day, so I really appreciate everyone who called in and stuck it out. As far as next steps, we are still planning at looking at that succinct gap analysis that should be presented to the Transportation and Utilities Committee on September 16. That was the first step, and it may encompass more, which is exciting. But that's kind of where we are right now, still encouraging people to reach out to our office, Councilmember Pedersen's office, and to contact me, cara.vallier@seattle.gov, because we are continuing with our stakeholder engagement process and getting input. We welcome ideas.

**Torgie Madison:**   Awesome. Thank you for that impromptu update. I did not mean to put you on the spot. I just thought it might be serendipitous.

**Cara Vallier:**   Yes, it's terrific. And I really appreciate it and I appreciate the shout out.

**Torgie Madison:**   And, from my experience with  interacting with Alex Pedersen and his office, they are very receptive to feedback, input, and suggestions. And it's been a refreshing and collaborative feeling. So, I would encourage anyone to reach out if you have questions or comments about the Internet for All resolution.

So, back to our regularly scheduled programming, we have a Smart Cities Committee update from Tyler Woebkenberg.

**Smart Cities Committee**

**Tyler Woebkenberg:**  Good evening, everyone. This will sound eerily similar to the former update, in that w also had to, due to some travel that I with my wife went on a socially distanced road trip. We actually had to delay, actually cancel, the July meeting overall. But, a few updates really quickly around the Smart Cities work. Rene, I apologize. I could not provide a longer form update to the broader CTAB board overall. We have been working and trying to make some progress on a 5G ask from the City overall. I think you're online now as well, you and Alice. Just kind of shaping that. And we have a few volunteers working on that, as well, and that is part of what the output of that will look like. We welcome additional input, and if you would like to volunteer or get involved, feel free to reach out to me through smartctab@gmail.com. We have a weekly meeting and are trying to push that forward. As well, there are a couple of other initiatives that we're aiming to spin off. But, again, similar to the previous update, and the pandemic, it's amazing how much it throws a wrench into things and slows things down on the progress. But, that's the main one. We do plan to get back to our regularly scheduled program for this month. Ideally, my travel schedule will not interfere with that. So apologies. We meet virtually on the same night as the other committee meetings. I'm happy to take any questions, if there are any. If not, I am happy to cede the time back to you.

**Torgie Madison:**   All right. Thank you for that. And, we can move on to the Privacy and Cyber Security Committee, with an update from Camille Malonzo.

**Privacy and Cyber Security Committee**

**Camille Malonzo:**   We had our meeting on July 28, and I just posted the notes from that on our last meeting on the chat. We had a well-attended and really engaged meeting. We talked about bringing on speakers to talk to our next board meeting. We have been working offline to seek for a few folks who will speak about the privacy lens for contact tracing, both through Washington State DOH, as well as King County DOH. I think we're still working on booking folks for future board meetings. I think this one was a little too quick notice for us, but we're working towards September 8, which I think is the next CTAB board meeting. Hopefully, we will have something for you, Torgie and Rene. I think that's our main update, that we are working on that panel on contact tracing. If you are interested in trying to connect us with other folks who can speak on the policy and practice of contact tracing in our State, let me know. The email that we use is ctabprivacy@gmail.com, which I will put in the chat, as well. That's kind of our main focus right now.

Our second focus is also a panel on the privacy of protest and social movements. We also have a few names for that panel, as well, but we have more names for the former topic, so I think we're going to focus on that first, and then see if that's feasible in the future.

Two other things that we talked about: We had some questions on the status of the Surveillance Ordinance working group. One of the attendees gave us an update, and that was later confirmed by an email from someone from Seattle IT. it's kind of on pause for now. They will have more updates for the Surveillance Ordinance working group, which then will give us updates for our community, as well. So, I think those are our main updates.

Our next meeting is, as folks have said, will be when other committee meetings will be held, which is later this month, the 25th. If folks are interested, have questions, you can contact me at this email address. We have some folks already viewing the notes, so it's great that it's available. If folks have any questions, concerns, I will answer them.  (<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1xnFuDdfd8egzCwXpiGKPwesHd9fvuss_NmGf5cM0iEE/edit>)

**Torgie Madison:**   Great. Thank you. We had conversed a little bit before this meeting, and I was very excited to hear about this possible contact tracing and privacy discussion. I'm showing my cards a little bit, having been the prior chair of that committee, but I think that's a great idea. If you can support that, you can reach out to myself and Rene Peters, and we can help make that a success, and hopefully put it on the September agenda.

**Camille Malonzo:**   Yes, that would be awesome. I will work with both of you offline to get that organized.

**Torgie Madison:**   Any other questions or comments for Camille Malonzo or Tyler Woebkenberg. I guess I sort of glossed over Tyler's, as well. Thank you for all of those updates. I know that it's getting harder and harder every month to keep up momentum on these sorts of things. Like we heard earlier, we don't really even know what month it is these days. But hopefully we can keep some of that momentum going. Once again, board members, if you have any ideas -- even members of the public, feel free to email me. You got my email address earlier. It's torgie@gmail.com. we would love to have community-driven presentations. If t here is something that you feel you would like to see, but don't necessarily know how to make it happen, we can help with that, as well.

**Harte Daniels:**   Torgie, I have a question on the surveillance. I understand that it is probably mostly focused at SPD, or the City, but I'm wondering whether the working committee is going to be nimble enough to make recommendations for the current trend towards having the community that kind of work as opposed to the SPD, if you catch my drift. The sands may be shifting a little bit, and the focus. I don't know. It's probably too early to say that there should be something, but maybe keep an eye on, if it's not government or the municipality or SPD, how will those surveillance suggestions apply to whomever they want to replace those people with.

**Camille Malonzo:**   Yes, Harte, I have a question. Do you mean really sticking to the Surveillance Ordinance, and how those technologies might be changing with the movement of resources from SPD to other divisions?

**Harte Daniels:**   Yes. It's too early to say that that will happen. That's what the political talk right now is about. So, yes, that's what I was saying. A report might be directed at one particular trajectory, at one particular target of advice for so and so. We're in a political upheaval right now where that target might change. Would the committee be nimble enough to keep their eyes open for that possibility of a target change.

**Camille Malonzo:**   Yes, we did talk about that during committee, as well, especially there are technologies that were in group one and two. Would we have to have another committee review of those technologies if they are not being used by SPD again in the future. So, we did talk about that. I think I'm interested in what would happen with those technologies, given shifting budget. I think it might be too soon to say, but we are really thinking about it, because they are really important. But, thank you for the comment.

**Harte Daniels:**   Thanks. Take care.

**Torgie Madison:**   Thank you again for all of those committee updates. And I think that actually segues very nicely into the public comment portion of the meeting. That again, was a great comment, great observation from Harte. For the rest of the public, if you have anything you would like to announce, comment on, or ask, this is the time to do so.

**PUBLIC COMMENT**

**Dorene Cornwell:**    Torgie, this is Dorene, and yesterday, I hit on an interesting webinar. It was about broadband investment, and it was sponsored by a company called Utopia. It was very high level, and people who were very aware of different local environments, as far as fiber and different economic environments. They have a whole web presence called Broadband Breakfast, and they do weekly emails. I think they're going to post the tape from yesterday. But, I just wanted to call people's attention to it, just because it was a whole really interesting conversation. That's probably as much as I need to say. What I'll do is when I get it -- I think I'll get a link from the recording, and I'll post it in the blog. It was just a really interesting, lively, conversation.

I would also like to weigh in about the privacy thing. I think what governments know about privacy and what's possible to do now, with cellphones and drones, to me it makes care of your privacy kind of a multi-faceted issue. I think I just want to leave it there. Because there is the privacy and there is the internet jump all over pieces that I don't always find conducive to thoughtful discourse. But I'm definitely aware that sands are changing. And I'm going to jump off, because I have something else. But thank you all.

**Torgie Madison:**   Thank you for that comment. I looked it up, and it looks like there is an article posted on August 6, that was Utopia Fiber announces $13.8 million deal with Clearfield Utah. This is probably related to what you were saying.

**Dorene Cornwell:**   Yes. I sent two links. I just did a reply to the email list that announced this meeting, and got, "We'll get back to you in two days;" which is fine. There is another article besides that one. It was just interesting to hear they had some people from different perspectives. I didn't recognize all of the companies and the actors, but they talked about different municipalities. And one of the people who was on does a lot of consulting in Europe, so he had a lot to say about how different things work in different countries. I found it a really interesting webinar, and I was happy to have stumbled on it and had time to participate.

**Torgie Madison:**   Thank you so much.

**Harte Daniels:**   Just a reminder. I was trying to look for you, Torgie, as far  as the surveillance using technology and whatnot. It was your call when you were the chair of the Privacy Committee -- some resources that were garnered from the WWHGB.org webinar. I will continue to look for them, but if you go to -- that's the Worldwide Human Georgraphy data working group from around the world, and also working with the U.S. State Department. They had that same issue of surveillance or tracking of, say, refugees, or whatnot, and where to provide services, and the question of privacy had come up, and there was a local, two local resources that mentioned that they would welcome questions on that. I sent that in an email to you. I am not finding it in my email basket. Maybe you can find it. Other than that, you could check that site and see how they deal with that concept of surveillance and geography data mapping for the common good and what they call Human Security, which is basically the social good. There's that resource there, Torgie. I'm not finding it. Maybe you can find it in your email basket.

**Torgie Madison:**   Yes, I will look. Very quick, I'm going to drop a link to the WWHGB into chat. So, that web site is now in chat,as well. Any other public questions, comments, or announcements? All right, that sounds like a negative on that.

**RECAP**

**Torgie Madison:**   So, just to recap the meeting today, we obviously approved the meeting minutes and agenda. We had a really good presentation from Vicky Yuki from the Digital Equity Learning Network. I think we only budgeted about 20 minutes, but it went about 40, which is just a good sign. We had the room in the agenda to have that conversation. A good sign that thee is a lot of interest. I made a quick announcement about the Internet for All resolution passing on July 27, from City Council. We had our committee updates. I think the next action item for me is to get in touch with Camille Malonzo and just support the upcoming discussion that, hopefully, will be coming in September.

**Cass Magnuski:**   Don't forget Vinh Tang!

**Torgie Madison:**   Oh, yes! Vinh, there is one last thing. We've been hinting at this. I made a special request for Vinh to speak about this, and then forgot. You may have been hearing about the switch to Webex. It's coming . I had asked Vinh to say a few words about that. My apologies. We're at the last couple of minutes in this meeting, and I almost just adjourned it. Could you speak a little bit about the upcoming changes to the platform?

**Vinh Tang:**  Thanks, Torgie. I'll keep this pretty quick. We would like to work with CTAB on transitioning from Skype to Webex, possibly for the next meeting on September 8, or the one after, on October 13. The City is scheduled to retire Skype for Business here later this year. Microsoft will actually sunset Skype for Business in 2021, and just focus on Microsoft Teams. Moving forward for the City, CISCO Webex will become the City's main video and teleconference platform for virtual meetings and conference calls. Seattle IT just completed the deployment of Webex Teams to all departments, and the City will essentially use both Webex and Teams; Webex for meetings with external participants or meetings with large audiences. And Teams is more suited for internal because of the built-in collaboration tools. Just for background, the project is called The Unified Communications and Collaboration Project. Obviously, it started well before Covid hit n March. We want to try to explore new technology to replace our aging telephone system, update our call centers, go to a single user interface that can span most devices. That was the intent, and obviously the tool adheres to State and local regulations for security, privacy compliance. That's it. We want to work with CTAB to make a smooth transition, make sure folks who are joining this meeting have it as simple and easy as possible. Any questions or feedback?

**Torgie Madison:**   Yes, I'll just add that I had a chance to preview the Webex experience. It is much different than the Microsoft experience. There' s a lot more options and potential points of confusion. I know that it's not really something that we can keep using, but is it possible, Vinh, that we could set up a dry run? Get maybe some board volunteers, with a larger audience of board members. I can send out an email later asking who wants to participate, who is interested, and what their schedules are like. Just some way to get us more prepared, so we're not completely going into it cold for the September meeting.

**Vinh Tang:**  Yes, absolutely.

**Torgie Madison:**   Okay, great. So, just keep an eye out for that email, CTAB members. Were there any other questions about this? All right. Ideally, we will be doing this for the September meeting, but it's possible that if things don't go smoothly in September that we would need to push it back to October.

But I don't think it can go much later than that, since it is being sunsetted by Seattle IT, and by Microsoft. Anything else, Vinh? I'm sorry for almost completely skipping over you.

**Vinh Tang:**  No worries.

**Torgie Madison:**    So, with that, I will recap that we just heard from Vinh Tang about switching to CISCO Webex at our next CTAB meeting in September. With that, everyone, we're out of here a little bit earlier than usual, so enjoy your extra 40 minutes. Have a good evening. Meeting is adjourned.

**ADJOURNMENT**