**January 8, 2019 Meeting - Seattle Community Technology Advisory Board**

Topics covered included: Surveillance Technology Public Comment Period Overview with Ginger Arbruster and Sarah Carrier, Seattle IT Privacy; a preview of panel discussions for 2019; Torgie Madison on sub-committee expectations; sub committee reports; a call for ideas; wrap and next steps.

**This meeting was held:** January 8, 2019; 6:00-7:00 p.m., Seattle Municipal Tower, 700 Fifth Avenue, Room 2750

Podcasts available at: <http://www.seattle.gov/Documents/Departments/CTTAB/podcast/cttab.xml>

**Attending:**

**Board Members:** Steven Maheshwary, Torgie Madison, Smriti Chandrashekar, Charlotte Lunday via phone.

**Public:** Adam Owen (Century Link) via Skype, Shankar Narayan (ACLU), Camille Malonzo, Wade Parrott, Joe Wooley, Dayna Lurie, Liz Gilbert

**Staff:** Tracey Cantrell, Chance Hunt, Jim Loter, Ginger Armbruster, Sarah Carrier, Alice Lawson, Seferiana Day, Cass Magnuski

**19 In Attendance**

**Steven Maheshwary:** Welcome to the January CTAB board meeting. We will start with introductions.

**INTRODUCTIONS**

**Steven Maheshwary:** Adam, do you want to introduce yourself?

**Adam Owen:**  I'm Adam Owen, Century Link, single family development manager for Washington.

**Steven Maheshwary:** And, is there anyone else on the line who can introduce themselves?

**Liz Gilbert:**   Hi, this is Liz Gilbert of Seattle Pacific University.

**Steven Maheshwary:** Do we have one more? No? Okay. Torgie, do you want to introduce the next person?

**Torgie Madison:**  Yes. This is part of the ongoing Surveillance Ordinance work that the Privacy Committee and I have been involved with for over a year now. We have Ginger Armbruster here, chief privacy officer of the City of Seattle, who can give us a little heads up on the agenda for February and what the future looks like for the Surveillance working group.

**SURVEILLANCE TECHNOLOGY PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD OVERVIEW**

**Ginger Armbruster:**   I'm Ginger and I wanted to give an update to this body about what's going on with surveillance. I'm not sure how familiar this is. Some new faces I see that I haven't seen before. We're talking about the Surveillance Ordinance and the review of 29 technologies that were identified last year as meeting the qualifications for the Surveillance Ordinance. We have been working with departments for whom these technologies are currently being used and will be reviewed. We've been working on putting together the required reports with surveillance information, reports that are going to be going to Council for their review, and also to their working group, which is an outside body that will be reviewing commenting on the technologies from a civil liberties and rights point of view.

The information that I'm providing tonight is information that is publicly available. We have this up on our web site, it's on our open data portal. It's about what our next group of reviews will be. The technologies will be open for public comment on February 5, and the public comment period will be open through March 5. We wanted to mention this to you guys now, because your next meeting will be somewhere in the middle of that, and you will want to know what's going on.

The technologies are with SDOT, Seattle Police, Seattle City Light, and Seattle Fire Department, a variety of everything from computer-aided dispatch to investigative specialized equipment that Seattle City Light uses for conversion investigations. It's a wide range of different kinds of technologies. The details about those will be made available when the public comment period opens. We will be posting drafts of the surveillance impact reports online on our web site. It's a privacy web site that we have online, and we can provide it to this group. And when those are available, they're open for that period of time. We also have a  ink to an online comment box. We want to try to get as many comments as we can for Council. We invite comment in three different ways, one in a public engagement event, which I will talk about in just a moment. We invite folks to those events to give us their oral and written comments, preferably, so we can get them exactly right. We also are welcoming snail mail, so folks can mail anything they want to us. We've had a couple of people do that. And then we have this online survey. So comment card, write in mail, writing your own letter, or doing an online survey for us so we can take that and get it exactly right.

We have planned a public event. We did several events for the last group of surveillance impact reports. We went out to the communities and we did a bunch of events. We determined that, while helpful, we want to change this up just a little bit. We're going to do an event at El Centro de la Rasa on the 23rd of February, and we're going to do it on a Saturday, make it more of a tech fair style event with tables and an opportunity to ask questions of subject matter experts, while we also will do presentations of what the technologies are and invite comment. We're also looking at doing this on the fly: The Seattle Channel will help us do a virtual town hall, so that we can do probably a Facebook live event and maybe Twitter live, to invite people, especially with the Seattle Squeeze hitting, to call in and give us their comments, or at least see the information online and invite them to do comments online or in other ways. And then the Department of Neighborhoods will be helping us to do focus groups so we can make sure we can focus in on communities that may be disparately impacted by surveillance.

So, those are all the ways that we're inviting folks to engage with us, and that's the information that I wanted to share tonight. The open comment period will start on the 5th. That's when it will be available to everybody. Right now, just the names of the technologies and a master list are available on our web site. And we have this big event planned for the 23rd. That may not be the only one. That's what we have online right now.  As soon as we have more events, they will go up on our web site. We will be starting an immediate campaign as soon as the Mayor's Office checks off that we're okay to do that. We have plans now to reach out over a variety of social media, and other ways to folks, and invitations to organizations that we know will be especially interested to comment. So that is our plan. The first group right now has gone through that process. The second group is what we're talking about now. If I can answer any questions about public engagement, or other?

**Torgie Madison:**   Just a quick one. Is there a status up that you can give on the appointment of the new outside body?

**Ginger Armbruster:**   The working group? Keith in the Mayor's Office is the best person to speak to that. It's my understanding that the people have been identified, and I think have been contacted about getting together and starting a process of electing and organizing and meeting cadence, and all the things they have to do. If you like, I can get you in touch with the office to find out what the latest is. We're waiting to hear, too.

**Shankar Nayaran:**   The first thing I want to say to this group, and in fact, presented to this group before, is that we want to work with CTAB in order to be able to bring our thoughts to the table and the implementation of the ordinance. We see the functions of the two groups as being different. What we call a community surveillance group is basically a group that is created for 15 months. It may continue longer than that, but just for the period of this retroactive review of these 28 surveillance technologies that are going through the ordinance, specifically with the majority of the perspectives coming from disproportionately impacted by surveillance. I think CTAB, while some of those perspectives are represented here as a much broader function, it's a permanent function, as opposed to this group that meets specifically on surveillance, specifically around impacted communities. I can send to the chair the list of folks that are on the group, but we are just getting started, and one concern that we have and actually sent a letter to Council complaining about, was the fact that because of delays in standing the group up, it seems impossible to meet the statutory deadline for the ordinance. With respect to the first surveillance group, it was supposed to be submitted by January 31, but this group is also supposed to have six weeks to review it and create our own supplementary compact assessment. Just for the information of this group, the three functions of the community surveillance group are number one, to basically provide a civil liberties impact that goes along with the surveillance impact report when it's presented to Council. So it goes public engagement along with going to Council. The second thing is really involved with the annual assessments that are sort of this large review of how things  are going. And the third one is just help with outreach. I think there is fertile ground for discussion with this group, and working together on all of those things. I don't know what is going to happen with respect to the timeline, but this first batch is impossible to review right now. something's got to give. I will be in touch with Council, and I'm happy, whether to Steven or someone else, to convey what we learn back to this group.

**Steven Maheshwary:**  That would be great. And if you get a sense of--once your timeline irons out and you're meeting--if that's something that the members of this board or the public can attend, that would be great also.

**Shankar Nayaran:**   Yes, all of our meetings are open. We would love that.

**Ginger Armbruster:**   Any other questions that we can answer?

**Steven Maheshwary:**  I have a question. Outside of that working group, was there another working group that had members from different organizations working together to review technologies?

**Ginger Armbruster:**   No. And our role now is to stand up public engagement to allow the public an opportunity to come in and see what the technologies are, so that we have a broad view. I came here at your invitation just to give you guys the update. All of this is online if you want to take a look at it and what all we have and get the master list, start to understand what these are, take a look at the drafts that are online now with all of the technology information and all of the reporting that's there. That's for this group. The next group will be up on the 5th. If you have any questions, feel free to let us know, and we can answer any questions you have.

**Harte Daniels:**  So, today was the webinar for the Worldwide Human Data Group, which was started by the State Department, on fragile communities, etc. I'm wondering whether some of the technologies, etc., could be of benefit and whether you would be able to help direct it to the right person. they do do surveillance, but on data, so it's GIS data from satellites, and overlaying it with some demographics. Demographic information is not enough for some of the vulnerable populations here and elsewhere. It's tangential and not specific, so I was wondering about talking to you offline?

**Ginger Armbruster:**   I don't know if we have a way for you to contact me through CTAB? If that's something you'd like to work out?

**Harte Daniels:**  You may not be the correct person.

**Ginger Armbruster:**   I got you. We'll talk a little bit more. Okay, that's my agenda item. Thank you. It was nice meeting you all. If you have any more questions, contact me. With that....

**Torgie Madison:**  Yes, thank you for your time. And, Shankar, I think we would be happy to work with you, and maybe get that list of members just so that we can keep in touch and keep the conversation going.

**Shankar Nayaran:**   Yes, I will send that list of members, and actually, [unintelligible]....

**Torgie Madison:**  That would be great.

**Steven Maheshwary:**  So we will move on to the next topic. I'm anticipating a shorter than usual board meeting, so hopefully we can get through some of these topics. the next one is a preview of our panel discussions for 2019. It kind of dovetails with Torgie's announcement about subcommittee expectations, but we're hoping to double down on panel discussion events for this year to spotlight key topics of public interest. Two topics that we were really interested in from the CTAB leadership perspective wre 1.) the issue of the Census 2020. It will be the first census that will be available online, which obviously has huge digital equity impacts, especially to under-served populations that may be disproportionately affected. There ae a lot of people who are working on this topic, especially in Seattle, on the public side, and NGO and nonprofit side. So, we're looking for speakers in that area. The second is that we recognize that City Council will go through a bit of a refresh this year. There will be a lot of candidates for several seats. So, one of the topics proposed was doing a candidate forum on issues of interest to technology for potential candidates for this year's election. We're hoping to partner with other boards and commissions, such as the Women's Commission, a couple of other commissions, like the Community Involvement Commission. We're going to reach out to see if they also have interest in this idea. Those are basically two ideas that we wanted to put forth for the year, in addition to potential other events that will be hosted by CTAB. With that, Torgie, if you want to talk a little about how we're thinking about such committees for the year.

**Torgie Madison:**  I was, but it might be more helpful to have more of the people here who are chairing and co-chairing the committees. I was hoping to h ave more of a round table discussion. the general idea was to set expectations about meeting once a month regularly, expectations about maybe starting to have a more formalized list of committee members, because as it is right now, they function more as like a working group, where the people who show up can weigh in on the topics of that meeting, but there isn't an expectation that certain people are stakeholders on these committees. We'd like to get more of a roster and maybe identify three or five people per committee who would be more consistent and reliable resources for those committees. Maybe set some expectations about cooperation between those committees but, again, we might have to table that to get more of those voices and opinions from those co-chairs and stakeholders.

**Steven Maheshwary:**  I think the only other aspect and one thing we're hoping is that subcommittees, themselves, will host an event or at least find an area of interest based on what the members perceive so that could be something that they focus on for the year.

**Torgie Madison:**  As in output.

**Steven Maheshwary:**  As in output, right. We do want to have a number of events and drive exposure to  issues of community interest. That's something we enjoyed last year.  We will put this online, and then also mention next time. I think the next topic is going to be Subcommittee Updates, but Torgie, I think you're here representing Privacy and Surveillance, so I don't know if you are able to at least talk about location and timing?

**Torgie Madison:**  Yes. So our location for our first meeting is going to be the Starbucks at 1600 Olive Way, and the Privacy Committee meetings are going to be held on the last Tuesday of every month. That puts them two weeks before the CTAB meeting, which makes the January meeting on the 29th. I can put that up on the board during the break.

**Steven Maheshwary:**  Sounds good. I think now we can open up for public comments. This is a time where you have an announcement or something you want to share with the broader group. Feel free to speak up.

**PUBLIC COMMENT**

**Charlotte Lunday:**   This is Charlotte. Last time I got a list of email addresses for people who are interested in the Smart Cities Committee, and I still have a list of people who were involved last year. I'm going to send out an email tonight to get people to come to a meeting where we can elect a chair and vice-chair, and talk about our plan for the year and what issues we might want to focus on.

**Steven Maheshwary:**  Great. Charlotte, if there's anyone in the room who wants to attend a Smart Cities event, how can they reach out to you?

**Charlotte Lunday:**   Can you give me a moment. I would like to compose an email for Smart Cities.

**Steven Maheshwary:**  Yes, we can send it out with the minutes.

**Charlotte Lunday:**   Why don't we do that and I'll have the address for you by the end of the meeting.

**Torgie Madison:**  Do you want to give kind of a general overview of what the Smart Cities Committee is and what it will be doing for 2019, if you have that prepared?

**Charlotte Lunday:**   The Smart Cities Committee...last year we worked with the Privacy Committee. So interest in the data sharing. But this year, I know I want to [unintelligible], but I also think that it's probably a good idea to have a meeting, get the new leadership on board, and see where everyone else's interests lie. Personally, I am very interested in public/private partnerships and data collection, as well as [unintelligible]. My personal hope this year is that we pick one or two issues and focus on digging in and seeing how Seattle might move on them. [unintelligible]

**Steven Maheshwary:**  Thank you, Charlotte. And, you know if you don't get that email address by the end of the meeting, we can just send it out with the minutes. No pressure. We are open for public comment.

**Sean McClellan:**  From the cyber security, what time was that? I missed that part.

**Torgie Madison:**   The meeting will be on January 29 at 6:00 p.m. And it usually goes until it's over, a little over an hour. 1600 E. Olive Way.

**Sean McClellan:**  Thank you.

**Torgie Madison:**   It will be on that board in a little bit.

**Harte Daniels:**  As I mentioned earlier, the Worldwide Working Group on Security, which means everybody has access. Their meetings are open to the public all around the world, and their trailblazing ways of assisting all people. the university does have a local chapter, and they focus on Smart Cities. I mentioned at an earlier meeting that American Airlines has a competition, a grand challenge on the environment that's due in the first couple of weeks of February, so it will probably be before the next board meeting, and The Roddenberry has an ongoing grants of up to $15,000 for CBOs. They also have fellowships to put people in specific areas of activity to comport with the community, which is very similar to what many members here or the members of the public that attend the meetings are also interested in. So there is a funding that I know about, etc., I could touch bases with you on a number of things, such as the names that I have given to the previous chair on people that can be approached.

**Steven Maheshwary:**  Okay. Thank you. If there are no other public comments, we can break for pizza. Anything else? Going once, going twice, pizza time! And we will regroup at 6:43.

**BREAK**

**Steven Maheshwary:**  Okay, everyone, if you guys want to grab a seat? All right, guys. So, returning from the break, we have new developments. We have reached quorum. Charlotte, are you still on the line?

**Charlotte Lunday:**  Yes.

**Steven Maheshwary:**  Okay, great! I'm actually just going to reintroduce two items from our agenda. At the top of the agenda, a vote to approve the January agenda. If anyone wants to make a motion to approve the January agenda?

**Torgie Madison:**   I move to approve the January agenda.

**Steven Maheshwary:**  Do we have a second?

**Charlotte Lunday:**  I second.

**Steven Maheshwary:**  Okay, all in favor, please say, "Aye." Any nays? January agenda is approved. can we get a motion to approve the December minutes?

**Smriti Chandrashekar:**   I move to approve the December minutes.

**Torgie Madison:**   I'll second.

**Steven Maheshwary:**  Torgie seconds. All in favor, say, "Aye."  No nays? So, the minutes are approved. Awesome. The next item that we have is we were going to review a CTAB letter to City Council and the Mayor. There are copies here, in case people want to look at it, but this was mainly something that we were looking for feedback from the board. the letter basically outlines key accomplishments from last year, and priorities for this year.

**REVIEW CTAB LETTER TO COUNCIL AND MAYOR**

**Seferiana Day:**  If you are on the phone, this is available on the agenda.

**Steven Maheshwary:**  Just one paragraph I'll highlight for the benefit of the public: Below the three descriptions of our sub-committees, we have a paragraph about our priorities for 2019 and 2020. I think that's something that may be of interest to this group. One is spotlighting key public interest topics, because I think we have a responsibility to that as a group that interacts with the community and engages with  the community. Second is to be able to talk about, analyze, and use the Technology Access and Adoption Survey results that Seattle IT has, based on the survey that Seattle IT has been putting out over the last year or something...?

**Seferiana Day:**  We had the survey out in May-ish, May-June. And we got data back in October, so we have been working on setting a dashboard to how behind the scenes works. We should have it very soon.

**Steven Maheshwary:**  Hopefully. One of the other items that we're requesting support for is something that we've been pursuing since 2017, which is to expand our membership form 10 positions to 15, which brings us, a.) in parity with a lot of the other community based commissions and boards that exist in Seattle, but also just allows us to go after some of the more important work that we're doing, including a lot of the policy reviews that have come out of this group over the past couple of years, which I think has been really important to our ability to hold public interest events to solicit and engage the community on various topics and issues, and a number of other things that new members can bring to the board. Those are some of the key priorities that we do want to highlight for the City Council and for the Mayor. If you do have feedback on this and you want to reach out to me, you can do so, and I'll send out an official way to contact us about feedback to this letter through the minutes. As a side note, I will say that in addition to the positions we're requesting in this letter, we do actually have four vacancies that we do need to fill. The appointment process to fill those is still forthcoming. We're working with Seattle IT and the Mayor to figure out what is the right appointment process. It's a TBD on that, and we should have more details in February.

**Seferiana Day:**  I would add to that if you are interested in applying, you can go to--it's on our web site--but if you just Google Boards and Commissions, you can find through that web site, there is a very general application. You can fill it out and send it to CTAB if you want to apply. If you want to jump ahead before the process opens that's always good. That comes to me and we can save that for when the process starts.

**Steven Maheshwary:**  And if you're wondering whether CTAB is right for you, if you have attended these meetings, you are already a candidate for joining the board. We always seek out people who have been interested in this group particularly. I think you guys are all excellent candidates. I guess we can move to the next item.

**Harte Daniels:**    So you hit on Privacy, Security, Digital Equity, Smart Cities and innovation. How are you handling Broadband? Is it falling under one of these?

**Steven Maheshwary:**  Yes. Broadband falls under Digital Equity. We do call it out here in the paragraph for Digital Equity.

**Harte Daniels:**    Thank you.

**Comment:** I've been told that positions  [unintelligible]....

**Steven Maheshwary:**  Every role is equal in the sense that there is no differentiation between each role on the board. As a member, you have full voting rights, and discussion rights to all of the topics that we have every month. there are vice chair and chair roles that happen at the end of the year that are open to board members. And if you're interested in becoming part of a sub-committee, you can do that. You can do so as a board member or as a visitor.

**Torgie Madison:**  Yes, it's important to get that the leadership roles for the sub-committees don't have to be by board members. We have had members of the public hold leadership positions before.

**Seferiana Day:** One of the big asks was for a public access member. Jose was after that. the ordinance calls out that there needs to be an education member and a public access member. that's one role. And if there were to be an expansion, the City Council or the Mayor would specify that we need to have 'x' number of people in certain areas, but that's really up to their discretion. But the public access is funded and will be vacant.

**Steven Maheshwary:**  That's helpful.

**Harte Daniels:**    [unintelligible]

**Seferiana Day:** Everyone is kind of the same. If someone works in the public interest. The person before was Jose Vasquez, who now works for the City. But he worked on Latino issues. More like nonprofit.

**Harte Daniels:**    And the education position?

**Seferiana Day:** Education currently is John Krull. He's the CTO at Seattle Public Schools. He is up for reappointment. So that one probably won't be vacant.

**Harte Daniels:**    Oh, I thought he was on the Digital Equity Committee.

**Seferiana Day:** He's on the committee, but it's the actual appointment.

**Harte Daniels:**    So, education means formal education?

**Seferiana Day:** Education is a formal position.

**Steven Maheshwary:**  The next item--I think this is helpful for board members to know--for every meeting, before we finalize and create the agenda for the CTAB meeting, we're going to make sure that CTAB board members have access to a Google Doc that let's them propose ideas or topics that they're interested in seeing represented within CTAB meetings. And then also have an opportunity to list speaker names and contact details. I think this is also good for the public. There are topics that you want to see represented in the CTAB board meetings. Some of you have been very vocal about that. We really do appreciate that. Giving us a topic, maybe a link to learning a little bit more about that topic, or a brief description, and then any people that you think would be good to come to the meeting and speak, or people or groups that you think we should go out to engage with and interact with to learn more, and bring those learnings back into our board meetings. We do value the public's input into different events, ideas, and topics, so we do want to make sure that there is a funnel for that. We can send out a way for you guys to engage with us on that, as well. This Doc is primarily for board members, but I think there needs to be a good mechanism for everyone else.  With that, unless there are any other comments, we can wrap up the meeting.

**WRAP**

**Steven Maheshwary:**   I think some key things to remember from this meeting are the Privacy and Surveillance Committee meeting will be held on Tuesday, January 29, 6:00 p.m. at the Starbucks at 1600 Olive Way. I think we had a number of call outs during the public comment period from Harte Daniels about Roddenberry grants for nonprofits, from Singularity University and their local chapter here. We had a great talk from Ginger Armbruster about an update on the Surveillance Ordinance and the open comment period. There is an open comment period from February 5 through March 5, and there is an online link for people to be able to comment. She did mention that there will be a public engagement event on February 23, at El Centro de la Raza, as well as a virtual town hall through the Seattle Channel and potentially Facebook Live. If there is anything else, we will send it out through the minutes.

**Charlotte Lunday**:  Steven, I have that email address. smartctab@gmail.com.

**Steven Maheshwary:**   That's [smartctab@gmail.com](mailto:smartctab@gmail.com). Thank you, Charlotte. With that, the meeting is adjourned.

**ADDENDUM**

The following is late information from Harte Daniels:

**Alaska Airlines Environmental Innovation Challenge**

https://foster.uw.edu/centers/buerk-ctr-entrepreneurship/entrepreneurship-competitions/eic/

Innovative and entrepreneurial students are our best hope for solving some of the world’s most pressing environmental problems. The Alaska Airlines Environmental Innovation Challenge at the University of Washington taps into the passion, smarts, and motivation that university students have for solving environmental/cleantech problems.

Apply: https://app.reviewr.com/site/eic19

Tuesday, February 19 ,by 12 Noon | OFFICIAL ENTRY APPLICATION DEADLINE

Submit a 5-7 page business summary for official entry into the EIC.

There are members of WWHGD at the UW and elsewhere in Seattle. Developed by U.S. Department of State to help the general pop, what technologies they learn can be applied to help the residence of Seattle.

Upcoming WWHGD Webinar and Teleconference: "Modeling to Understand Human Dynamics and Social Systems"

February 26, 2019 at 10 a.m. – 12 p.m. Eastern / 7 a.m. Pacific / 3 p.m. GMT

Co-led by the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency and the U.S. Department of State, the WWHGD Working Group seeks to build voluntary partnerships across the Human Geography data and mapping communities with the goal of promoting Human Security.

Registration for the webinar is open at https://wwhgd.org. You must “Create a New Account” or have an existing account to register for the webinar. Once you log-in as a Member and navigate to https://wwhgd.org/modeling3-humandynamics you can register for the event.

Questions, please contact: Gwyneth Holt at 850.687.3674 /holt\_gwyneth@bah.com, Matt Grafel at 785.691.6580/grafel\_matthew@bah.com, or Alex Hatoum (in Spanish, French, or Portuguese) at 202.503.4007/AHatoum@ihs-i.com.

Second Feb announcement:

Naval Post Graduate School Joint Interagency Field Experimentation proposals due by March 15, 2019

"The overall focus of JIFX will be to explore technologies that have the potential of rapidly increasing military & first responder capability, reducing the cost of current capabilities, providing options for reducing force structure associated with a capability, or providing a means to work and share more with partner nations and other organizations.

We provide a realistic field environment with airspace, buildings, tunnels, forests, open plains, etc.

You provide an emerging technology to address challenges faced by the US Military Forces

Together we collaborate and learn about challenges your technology may address!

JIFX 19-3 will be held 29 April – 3 May 2019 and will continue a focus on Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning, Command and Control (C2), Autonomous Systems, and Cybersecurity.

Experiment proposals for this event are due 15 March 2019."

For Ms. Pham who is on the Feb CTAB agenda (she requested resources in Skyway)

east african community services

located in the New Holly Neighborhood Campus at the intersection of Myrtle and 32nd Ave.

7050 32nd Ave S, Seattle, WA 98118

206.721.1119

info@eastafricancs.org

West Hill Community Association

PO Box 78583, Seattle, WA 98178

https://mywesthill.org/news/

Our Skyway/West Hill Community Plan is the framework for big-picture decisions to grow community and preserve the character of our neighborhoods. This is our piece of King County’s Comprehensive Plan. This is a community-driven process that will result in a doable list of projects to be implemented.

Skyway Solutions

P.O. Box-78580

Seattle, WA 98178

Phone: 206.706.6400

I'm sure Julie knows Project Feast, which meets in the Tukwila community center - intersecting Renton, Skyway, Tukwila, S Seattle